Novel biomarkers of periodontitis and/or obesity in saliva—An exploratory analysis
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ABSTRACT

Objective: Recent studies point to the clinical and research utility of saliva as a valuable diagnostic aid for monitoring periodontal health. The objectives of this study were to detect novel biomarkers attributed to chronic inflammation in saliva and to determine if the levels of these markers correlate with severity of periodontitis and with standard obesity measures in participants in a periodontal maintenance program.

Design: In this cross-sectional assessment of 63 participants, unstimulated whole saliva was collected after recording anthropometric and clinical parameters of obesity and periodontitis, respectively. The levels of interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra), sCD40L, granzyme B and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) in saliva were determined using multiplex proteomic immunomassays. The correlation between the four tested biomarker concentrations and obesity/periodontal measures was determined.

Results: Positive correlation between fat% and granzyme B levels (r = 0.292; p = 0.020) and negative correlation between BMI and sCD40L (r = 0.256; p = 0.043) was observed. In addition, positive correlation between severity of periodontal disease and levels of IL1-ra (r = 0.253; p = 0.046) and negative correlation between periodontitis severity and sCD40L salivary levels (r = 0.272; p = 0.031) was noted. None of the above correlations remained statistically significant after multiple comparisons adjustment. After adjustment for clinical covariates, the relationship between sCD40L and periodontal severity remained suggestive (p = 0.081).

Conclusions: Levels of four novel biomarkers of periodontitis were detectable in saliva of subjects enrolled in a periodontal maintenance program. Prospective studies with larger sample sizes and other populations are warranted to explore the diagnostic applicability of these markers.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Obesity and chronic periodontitis are two highly prevalent conditions of inflammatory nature. The number of people in developed nations who are obese is at rise. In the United States, it was estimated that, in 2009–2010, 35.5% of adult men and 35.8% of adult women were obese (Flegal, Carroll, Kit, & Ogden, 2012). Periodontal disease is also highly prevalent, affecting approximately 47% of the United States population over the age of 30, according to 2009–2010 NHANES data (Eke, Dye, Wei, Thornton-Evans, & Genco, 2012). A recent update by the same group examined data from 2011 to 2012, including 7666 adults over the age of 30 (Eke et al., 2015). This update showed findings statistically similar to the data published in 2012. This study stated that 44.7% of adults in the United States had periodontitis. For the combined period of 2009–2012, 45.9% of adults over the age of 30 in the United States have periodontitis, with 8.9% having disease classified as severe (Eke et al., 2015). There is growing evidence that obesity may play an important role in the pathogenesis of periodontal disease. Recently published longitudinal studies and systematic reviews/meta-analyses clearly underscored this association (Chaffee & Weston, 2010; Gorman et al., 2012). In addition, our group has reported a positive correlation between select obesity measures and the expression of
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pro-inflammatory mediators in peri-implant sulcular fluid (Elfgangan et al., 2014).

Predicting the onset and/or progression of chronic periodontitis solely based on clinical and radiographic assessments has inherent limitations, given the site-specific and non-linear nature of this local inflammatory condition. Furthermore, the influence of concomitant systemic inflammatory conditions, such as obesity, on the pathogenesis of chronic periodontitis cannot be fully understood on the basis of conventional diagnostic methods. The analysis of biologic fluids to identify markers that may enable clinicians to accurately predict the onset and progression of chronic periodontitis has been proposed as a complementary diagnostic method to overcome some of the aforementioned limitations (Giannobile, 2012). These advanced diagnostic approaches have the potential to allow clinicians to design a more effective, personalized treatment plan to either prevent or treat distinct forms of chronic periodontitis that have been traditionally considered to be the same, given its phenotypically similar (Garcia, Kuska, & Somerman, 2013). Salivary diagnostics, one of these emerging diagnostic tools, has been well received because of the ease of collection, established laboratory protocols for analysis and the availability of extensive data from past studies using saliva that can be used for comparisons (Pfaffe, Cooper-White, Beyerlein, Kostner, & Punyadeera, 2011; Schulz, Cooper-White, & Punyadeera, 2013).

The diagnostic or prognostic value of multiple salivary markers has been studied for chronic periodontitis (Kinney et al., 2011). In a separate case-control study, 98 inflammatory biomarkers were assessed in gingival crevicular fluid (GCF), of which only four novel biomarkers were significantly elevated in the chronic periodontitis group (Starr et al., 2014). These four biomarkers are interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra), sCD40L, granzyme B and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP). All of them were implicated to play a pivotal role in chronic inflammatory conditions (Baena-Fusteguera et al., 2013; El Mesallamy et al., 2014; Potapovich et al., 2009). For example, high serum levels of IL-1ra, which inhibits the pro-inflammatory effect of IL-1, were found in patients with metabolic syndrome (Salmenniemi et al., 2004). Specific biological functions of the selected biomarkers are listed in Table 1. The primary objective of this cross-sectional assessment was to detect the baseline levels of these four novel biomarkers in saliva obtained from periodontal maintenance patients and to correlate these values with severity of periodontitis. Secondarily, the correlation between the levels of these novel markers and body fat indices was also assessed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participant identification and recruitment

This project stems from a parent study that evaluated the correlation between obesity measures and levels of inflammatory biomarkers in sulcular fluid obtained around dental implants (Chaffee & Weston, 2010). The parent study was conducted after obtaining Institutional Review Board’s approval from the University of Iowa’s Human Subjects Office (IRB # 201109878). Briefly, participants enrolled in the College of Dentistry periodontal recall program and having at least one rough surface implant in function for a minimum of 6 months were identified by searching the electronic health record (EHR). Data and sample collection were done in eligible participants between June 2012 and April 2013. To be eligible for the parent study, participants had to be 18 years of age or older, current non-smokers and had to be enrolled in a collegiate periodontal maintenance program. Participants with aggressive periodontitis and pregnant or nursing women were not eligible. Also, patients who were completely edentulous, had blade-type or smooth surface implants, or who had taken medications such as antibiotics and anti-inflammatory agents for 3 months prior to the study visit were excluded. Participant’s medical history was thoroughly reviewed during the initial telephone calls that we made to assess eligibility and also during the study visit.

2.2. Body composition and systemic evaluation

Before clinical examination and sample collection, height (in meters), weight (in kilograms) and waist circumference (in centimeters) were measured and recorded, as described elsewhere (Chaffee & Weston, 2010). Briefly, body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the Quetelet Index (weight [kilograms]/height [meter]) (Eke, Dye et al., 2012). Waist circumference (WC) of the subject was then recorded using measuring tape (RJL System, Clinton Township, MI, USA). Body fat content (%) was measured non-invasively using a bioimpedance-based body fat analyzer (RJL System, Clinton Township, USA). At the same visit, blood pressure and fasting blood glucose level (One Touch Ultra 2 Blood Glucose Meter, Milpitas, CA, USA) were also measured.

2.3. Saliva collection and analysis

Unstimulated whole saliva samples were collected from patients who fasted for at least one hour. Participants were asked to passively drool onto a 50 mL centrifuge tube (placed on ice). The participants were given 15 min to get a total volume of 2 mL. If the 2 mL volume was achieved before 15 min, the collection was stopped. The samples were aliquoted and stored in −80 °C freezer for later use. At the end of the clinical phase, all saliva samples were removed from the −80 °C freezer and thawed on ice. Particulates and debris in each sample were pelleted by centrifugation at 16,100 RCF (13,200 RPM, Eppendorf, 5415D centrifuge, Brinkmann Instruments, Inc., Westbury, NY) for 5 min at 24 °C. The supernatants were removed and held on ice. The pellets were discarded.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarkers</th>
<th>Functional relevance (Refs.: Schulz et al., 2013; Kinney et al., 2011; Starr et al., 2014; Baena-Fusteguera et al., 2013)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-fetoprotein</td>
<td>• Modulate inflammatory events in human skin  • Used to detect developmental abnormalities and cancers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granzyme B</td>
<td>• Induces inflammation by stimulating cytokine release  • Higher serum levels in obese patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1 receptor antagonist</td>
<td>• Inhibits pro-inflammatory effect of IL-1α/IL-1β  • Higher levels in metabolic syndrome (obesity is a component)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCD40L</td>
<td>• Regulates immune/inflammatory functions  • Implicated in the pathophysiology of severe chronic inflammatory diseases  • Higher serum levels in obese patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The concentrations (pg/30 s) of IL1RA, sCD40L, GranzymeB, and AFP were determined in each sample using multiplexed fluorescent bead-based immunoassays (Millipore, Billerica, MA) in the Luminex 100 IS Instrument (Luminex, Austin, TX). Briefly, 250 μL of saliva supernatant was added to anti-human multicytokine magnetic beads (Milliplex immunoassay, Millipore, Billerica, MA) and incubated at 4°C for 18.0 h. Unbound material was removed by aspiration (EX405TS magnetic plate washer, BioTek, Winooski, VT USA); anti-human multi-cytokine biotin reporter was added; and the reactions were incubated at room temperature for 1.5 h in the dark. Streptavidin–phycoerythrin was then added and the plates were incubated at room temperature for an additional 30 min. Following which, the plates were washed two times and beads were suspended in sheath fluid prior to reading (Luminex model 100 IS, Austin, TX). The standard curve ranged from 0.64 to 10,000.00 pg/mL for IL1RA and sCD40L; from 0.001 to 5.000 ng/mL for GranzymeB, and from 0.14 to 100.00 ng/mL for AFP. Concentrations of IL1RA, sCD40L, GranzymeB, and AFP in each sample were interpolated from these standard curves (xPonent v3.1, Luminex, Austin, TX USA; MILLIPLEX Analyst v5.1, Millipore, Billerica, MA USA).

2.4. Periodontal evaluation

Following sample collection, a comprehensive periodontal examination was conducted by one calibrated examiner (G.A-O.), including plaque levels assessment using the modified Quigley Hein plaque index (Turesky, Gilmore, & Glickman, 1970). The following periodontal parameters were assessed and recorded: probing pocket depth, recession, presence or absence of bleeding on probing and suppuration on six points, as well as mobility (Miller scale), furcation involvement (Hamp, Nyman, & Lindhe, 1975) and width of facial keratinized tissue (if any). Examiner calibration was tested through partial periodontal examination (one quadrant) performed by the examiner on two volunteers on two separate occasions with 3 days apart and Cohen’s Weighted Kappa was used to assess examiner reliability. Participant’s brushing and flossing frequency, time since last prophylaxis, past history of periodontal therapy and familial history of periodontal disease were also recorded. Based on the recently updated case definitions for population-based surveillance of periodontitis, periodontal status of each our participant was categorized by one examiner into one of the following categories: no periodontitis, mild, moderate and severe periodontitis (Eke, Page, Wei, Thornton-Evans, & Genco, 2012).

2.5. Statistical analysis

Spearman rank correlations were used to assess associations among periodontal status/obesity measures (waist circumference, BMI, and fat%), and the four biomarkers of interest. Bivariate associations were re-evaluated after adjustment for clinical covariates (brushing frequency, flossing, fasting blood glucose, days since professional cleaning, and plaque index) using regression models. Multiple comparisons adjustment was made by the standard Bonferroni method.

3. Results

Of the 341 invitations sent to potential participants, 210 responded, 88 of which fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Of the 88 participants scheduled, 73 completed the study but the final analysis included samples from 63 participants as samples from 10 participants were deemed nonusable due to sampling errors or lack of sufficient volume of saliva for multiplex assay (Fig 1). Please see Tables 2 and 3 for descriptive statistics for our study population. Though the participants were in active periodontal maintenance and exhibited low plaque index scores, it was clear that, based on the case definitions employed, close to 54% of them had moderate periodontitis at the time of the appointment and a
smaller proportion (20.6%) were categorized as severe periodontitis subjects. Close to 60% and 78% of our participants reported that they brushed twice a day and flossed at least once a day, respectively.

We show for the first time that these four novel biomarkers (IL-1ra, sCD40L and Granzyme and AFP) were detectable in saliva, but AFP levels were towards the lower end of the detection range. The mean levels and range of concentrations of these biomarkers in our subjects are displayed in Table 2. With regard to the correlation between periodontal status and levels of these four biomarkers, we observed a positive correlation between periodontitis severity and levels of IL1-ra ($r = 0.253; \ p = 0.046$) (Fig. 2). We also observed a
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**Fig. 2.** Relationship between periodontal status (1—no periodontitis, 2—mild periodontitis, 3—moderate periodontitis and 4—severe periodontitis) and the levels of IL-1ra (top) and sCD40L (below) levels in saliva (in pg/mL).
significant negative correlation between periodontitis severity and sCD40L salivary levels \((r = 0.272; p = 0.031)\) (Fig. 2). None of the above correlations remained statistically significant after multiple comparisons adjustment. After adjustment for clinical covariates, the relationship between sCD40L and periodontal severity remained suggestive \((p = 0.081)\).

With regard to the correlation between obesity measures and selected biomarker levels in saliva, we noticed a significant positive correlation between fat\% and Granzyme B levels \((r = 0.292; p = 0.020)\). Additionally, a strong negative correlation was observed between BMI and sCD40L levels in saliva \((r = 0.256; p = 0.043)\) (Fig. 3). Nevertheless, none of the above correlations remained
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![Graph showing correlation between BMI and sCD40L levels in saliva](image2)

**Fig. 3.** Relationship between body fat indices and the levels of granzyme (top) and sCD40L (below) levels in saliva (in pg/mL).
statistically significant after multiple comparisons adjustment. Pairwise correlation between biomarkers, explanatory variables and periodontal status revealed other correlations. Importantly, plaque index correlated positively with periodontitis severity ($r = 0.432; p = 0.0004$); this correlation remained significant after adjustment for multiple comparisons. Other correlations of interest included correlations of periodontitis severity with IL-1ra levels ($r = 0.262; p = 0.038$) and AFP levels ($r = 0.25; p = 0.048$) (Table 4). Another significant positive correlation was seen between waist circumference of participants and severity of periodontitis ($r = 0.262; p = 0.038$), which is important in the context of adiposity–periodontitis association (Table 4).

4. Discussion

We believe that this is the first study that reports the baPgseline levels of IL-1ra, sCD40L and Granzyme B and AFP in saliva obtained from a homogenous periodontal maintenance population. This analysis is an extension of another study that evaluated the correlation of fat indices and levels of other biomarkers around dental implants (Elangoan et al., 2014). We observed that the levels of some of these biomarkers were significantly correlated with periodontitis severity and select obesity measures. However, none of these correlations remained significant after adjusting for multiple comparisons.

Our result on the positive correlation of periodontitis severity and levels of IL-1ra is in agreement with a recently published case-control study. The authors of this previous study reported significantly higher levels of IL-1ra in the gingival crevicular fluid samples collected from periodontitis subjects, compared to healthy volunteers (Gilowski, Wiench, Plocia, & Krzeminski, 2014). Another recent investigation using an experimental gingivitis model in 168 participants reported IL-1ra (in addition to IL-6) to be a potent indicator for pocket depth increase in these participants (Morelli et al., 2014). Contrary to our result on the negative correlation between periodontitis severity and sCD40L salivary levels, a recent case-control study reported a positive correlation between this marker in GCF and periodontitis severity (Chaturvedi, Gupta, Jain, Das, & Prashar, 2015). To our knowledge, there are no published studies on the correlation of periodontal severity and the expression of the other two biomarkers tested (i.e., Granzyme B and AFP).

Though the primary objective of this study was to investigate the correlation between periodontal status and the levels of the aforementioned four novel markers in saliva, since we collected anthropometric data from these subjects in our parent study, it provided us the opportunity to explore the correlations between body fat indices and the levels of salivary biomarkers. We know from earlier studies, that WC is a reliable indicator of abdominal obesity, when compared to BMI (Jia et al., 2003; Leitzmann et al., 2011). Therefore, it was interesting to observe a positive correlation with periodontitis severity. Of the body fat indices included in this study, WC showed a strong correlation with the severity of periodontitis. On the contrary, both the fat% and BMI did not correlate with periodontal status, but did correlate with the levels of select biomarkers tested.

Given the cross-sectional nature of the study, causality cannot be established. Though this study has this limitation, its most remarkable strengths include an adequate sample size and the inclusion of novel biomarkers implicated in several chronic diseases for their role in inflammation and immunity. Though we observed significant correlations, except the correlation between plaque index and periodontal status, none of the other correlations with biomarkers remained significant after multiple comparisons, which emphasizes the need for future clinical studies with an even larger sample size and a prospective study design to establish causality. Since this is a branched out study from a parent study that assessed the correlation between obesity indices and peri-implant health, we included subjects with at least one dental implant, resulting in some degree of selection bias. In future studies, it would also be worth analyzing more than one body fluid to increase the odds of identifying appropriate biomarkers with greater sensitivity (Khan, 2012).

5. Conclusions

Four novel biomarkers [interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra), sCD40L, granzyme B and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) of periodontitis] were detectable in saliva of subjects enrolled in a periodontal maintenance program. Suggestive correlations were identified between the levels of these biomarkers and obesity/periodontal measures, but these did not remain statistically significant after adjusting for multiple comparisons.
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